

Critical Policy - 2 IMMIGRATION - National Security

INTEGRATION AND ASSIMILATION

It would be unreasonable and unrealistic for Australia – as a host nation – to expect or demand that immigrants who carry with them a heritage of cultural and religious enrichment, should suddenly shed their values and assimilate into a new and distinctly foreign way of life causing them to lose their identity and origins.

Yet, it is very reasonable for Australia to expect immigrants to **integrate** in its purest form. And The Federal Party of Australia defines 'Integration', in the context of its Immigration Policy, in the same way as it defines 'Multiculturalism':

"Integration" and "Multiculturalism" mean the voluntary co-existence of diverse cultures; each ethnic group bonded by a common freedom to practice independent cultural traditions and religious beliefs in harmony with each other, and unified by a desire to command the language, respect the heritage, and observe the laws of their socially-inclusive host nation to which allegiance has been pledged.

But Here is the Warning

We must never believe for one moment that there are not cultures that see integration solely as a stepping stone to domination (by force if necessary when the time is right). And while their long-range planning is taking skilful hold, under the guise of friendship, inclusion and tolerance, we continue to unwittingly support them with every imaginable financial benefit.

Never before in the history of our nation have we ever so blindly funded a threat to our way of life and Sovereignty. But then, never before have our politicians been backed into a position of impotence because of fear. And not just fear created by internal threats of terrorism but more so the fear of international support for those threats which now lie predominantly dormant, for the moment.

A Well-armed Citizenry

Unfortunately, the solution to any threat of violence against any nation depends entirely on its ability to repel that violence. For instance, the Swiss have had it right for over 200 years. In their words, "A well-armed citizenry is a nation's best form of defence." But these are also the words of many other nations including the Czech Republic and the United States of America who are at the forefront of protecting their citizens... their countries.

And yet, successive Australian governments since 1996 have abruptly and systematically stripped our citizens of the right to defend themselves even within their own homes. **And that is where we are the most vulnerable**. This is where micro insurgence in its most lethal form can win the day... can bring our nation to its knees.

And in answer to this problem, a Federal Party of Australia government would establish 2,000 Command Centres; that is, one within approximately every two suburbs across the length and breadth of Australia, each controlled by multiple Command Centre Wardens who are empowered to distribute weapons to well-trained and highly-screened civilians in times of absolute emergency.

We fully understand that any internal culture which follows a religious doctrine of domination by force, and which has reached sufficient strength in numbers (say 3.5% of Australia's 24,000,000 population) may wish to immediately carry out their underlying intent.

And we also understand that any such move against Australians may invoke protection by our allies, the UK and the United States; protection that would cause any extremist body to initially hesitate.

But in truth, there is a flipside to this logic; because it is the fear of global conflict on the part of other nations (if they did step in) that could cause domestic terrorists (with cultural support) to believe that they can bring Australia to its knees with impunity. And with that realisation it is just a question of when.

A hostile culture of say 840,000 men, women and children (i.e. 3.5% of Australia's current population) would have a so-called righteous fighting force of potentially well over $200,000 \times 18$ to 45-year-old males. Our entire Defence Force including active Reservists is only 80,000.

And it must be remembered at all times that such cultures (however many there may be) are not contemporary thinkers who have evolved and matured their thinking like other civilizations. We are talking about cultures and religious beliefs that are still firmly rooted in centuries past and still driven by the ancient instructions written in their Sacred Texts; instructions which clearly advocate intolerance, non-inclusion and the murder of all non-believers.

So, with the prospect of other nations not wanting to come to our aid we are left with having to counter our own internal terrorist attacks; attacks which at times could be frequent and scattered, rendering our armed forces ineffectual; whereas, a well-armed and highly disciplined citizenry could be mobilised immediately.

Citizen Volunteer Militia (CVM)

An armed citizenry, to be known as 'Citizen Volunteer Militia' with its 2,000 strategically placed Command Centres – even if never required – in combination with a Home Security protection program, would be the greatest deterrents to potential mass or micro-terrorism that any nation could have. Internationally, we would be seen as vehemently and forcefully protective on the one hand and eminently wise on the other as our Cultural Bonding education program begins moulding a new generation of proud Australians. Please read **Internal Defence: Extraordinary Critical Policy**. It is part of the 'Protect' component in our Protect and Bond strategy. (http://www.federals.org.au/id ecp.html)

Next – Border Protection and Illegal Immigrants http://www.federals.org.au/bp_ii.pdf